

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,528	08/29/2001	Philipp Lang	3155/102	6548
	7590 08/08/2007 & SUNSTEIN LLP	EXAMINER		
125 SUMMER	STREET		LU, TOM Y	
BOSTON, MA 02110-1618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	* .		2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		08/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		T					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/942,528	LANG, PHILIPP				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tom Y. Lu	2624				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	1.  nely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Mar</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) ☐ 6) ☑ 7) ☐ 8) ☐ <b>Applicati</b> 9) ☐ 10) ☐	Claim(s) 1-4,8-10,12-31 and 48-55 is/are pendidal Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4, 8-10, 12-31 and 48-55 is/are rejected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examined The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and or so the or declaration is objected to by the Examined The path or declaration is objected to be the Examined The path or declaration is objected to be the Examined The path or declaration is objected to be the Examined The path or declaration is objected to be the Examined The path or declaration is objected to be the Examined The path or declaration is objected to be the Examined The path or declaration is objected to be the Examined The path or declaration is objected to be the Examined The path or declaration is objected to be the Examined The path or declaration is objected to be the Examined The path or declaration is objected to be the Examined The path or declaration is objected to be the Examined The path or declaration is objected to be the Examined The path or declaration is objected to be the Examined The path of the Examined	vn from consideration.  cted.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer, Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
12) <u></u> a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National Stage				
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔀 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				
,							

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. The amendment and written response filed 05/21/2007 has been entered and considered.
- 2. Claims 1, 3 and 8 have been amended.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 8-10, 12-31 and 48-55 are pending.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 05/21/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Jiang reference:

Applicant argues the Jiang reference fails to teach quantitative information, which is selected from the group consisting of trabecular thickness and two-dimensional or three-dimensional spaces between trabeculae. Applicant also argues Jiang does not discloses all the acquisition parameters recited in claim 17. Furthermore, applicant argues Jiang does not teach one or more internal standards. Moreover, applicant argues Jiang does not generate a diagnostic report based on the quantitative information.

Upon further review of specification and in light of applicant's arguments, the examiner respectfully disagrees as follows: The examiner notes Jiang teaches trabecular thickness at column 6, line 18, bone mineral densitometry, BMD, and the two-dimensional or three-dimensional spaces between trabeculae by determining Minkowsiki dimension, and In fractal geometry, the Minkowski-Bouligand dimension or Minkowski dimension is a way of determining the fractal dimension of a set S in a Euclidean space  $R^n$ , or more generally of a metric space (X,d). This dimension is also known as the packing dimension or, less accurately,

the box-counting dimension. And the boxes are the claimed "two-dimensional or three-dimensional spaces." The examiner further notes the claim calls for selecting information from the group consisting of trabecular thickness, or two-dimensional or three-dimensional spaces between trabeculae, which means only one of three is addressed in Jiang is enough to meet the claim limitation. With regard to "acquisition parameters", Jiang teaches spatial resolution at column 9, lines 20-28, focal spot at column 8, line 12, film distance at column 8, line 13. Since the claim does not require all the parameters to be selected two parameters are enough to meet the claim limitation. With regard to "internal standards", Jiang teaches BMD as internal standards, such as standard pelvis thickness, column 7, line 55-56. With regard to "diagnostic report", the examiner notes after a specimen was scanned, and a region of interest was identified, the area BMD within the ROI was obtained and analyzed (column 7, lines 49-65), and the analysis report is generated to compare with the standards in table 1 at column 10.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 8-10, 12-31 and 48-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jiang et al ("Jiang" hereafter) (U.S. Patent No. 6,442,287 B1) in view of Wheeler et al ("Wheeler" hereafter) (U.S. Patent No. 5,200,993)
  - a. As per claim 1, Jiang teaches a method to derive quantitative information (column
    3, lines 62-65) from an x-ray image (column 3, line 12) comprising: providing a

Art Unit: 2624

digitized x-ray image on a local computer (figure 1, steps S10 and S20), wherein the x-ray image includes an image of bone (column 6, lines 27-37); analyzing the x-ray image at a computer, thereby deriving quantitative information on trabecular bone structure from the x-ray image (column 6, lines 38-44; steps S30-S70), wherein said information is selected from the group consisting of trabecular thickness and two-dimensional or three-dimensional spaces between trabeculae (see explanation in paragraph 4 above). Jiang does not explicitly teach the computer is a remote computer in a network environment. Wheeler teaches a host computer 16 and the workstations 12, 14 and 22 are connected in a network environment for sharing of the medical images (see figure 1 and column 4, lines 38-52). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Wheeler's networking system in Jiang to retrieve medical image data from a host computer and perform image processing at the desired remote computer. One would be motivated to do so because such networking environment increases data handling flexibility and resources while avoiding the requirement for user acquisition of expensive equipment, which may not be compatible with other users as suggested by Wheeler at column 10, lines 2-6.

b. As per claim 2, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches wherein the analysis of the x-ray image comprises using a computer program on the remote computer (both Jiang and Wheeler teaches use of computer program for image analysis; e.g. column 6, line 6-7 in Jiang).

Art Unit: 2624

- c. As per claim 3, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches wherein said quantitative information includes densitometric information (see step S41 in Jiang).
- d. As per claim 4, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches wherein said densitometric information is bone mineral density (see step S41 in Jiang).
- e. As per claim 8, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches wherein said quantitative information includes information on the morphology of the bone (Jiang: column 3, lines 62-65).
- f. As per claim 9, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches wherein said information on the morphology of a structure is information on the two-dimensional arrangement of individual components forming said structure (Jiang: column 17, lines 21-31).
- g. As per claim 10, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches wherein said information on the morphology of a structure is information on the three-dimensional arrangement of individual components forming said structure (Jiang: column 17, lines 21-31).
- h. As per claim 12, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches wherein said information includes two dimensional or three dimensional architecture of the tracbecular network (Jiang: column 17, lines 21-31).
- i. As per claim 13, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches transmitting x-ray acquisition parameters to the remote computer (Jiang: the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches the image process is done on a remote computer, and the

- image process requires the size of ROI and the spatial resultion, column 9, lines 20-28).
- j. As per claim 14, whether the acquisition parameters transmitted prior to the remote computer prior to, simultaneously or after the x-ray image does not affect the image process, thus it carries no patentable weights. In addition, the applicant does not state transmitting the parameters prior to, simultaneously or after the x-ray image would post any significant advantage or solve any particular problem. Therefore, the examiner herein takes official notice that the combination of Jiang and Wheeler can transmit the parameters prior to, simultaneously or after the x-ray image
- k. As per claim 15, see explanation in claim 14.
- 1. As per claim 16, see explanation in claim 14.
- m. As per claim 17, see explanation in paragraph 4 above
- n. As per claim 18, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches wherein the X-ray image further comprises one or more internal standards (see explanation in paragraph 4 above).
- As per claim 19, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches wherein the internal standard is density of tissue of a human or air surrounding a structure (BMD is bone density).
- p. As per claim 20, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches wherein the internal standard is density of a tissue and the tissue is selected from the group consisting of subcutaneous, fat, bone and muscle (bone is the selected tissue).

Art Unit: 2624

q. As per claim 21, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches wherein the information is encrypted prior to transmission (Wheeler: column 7, lines 30-31).

Page 7

- r. As per claim 22, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches generating a diagnostic report based on the quantitative information (see explanation in paragraph 4 above).
- s. As per claim 23, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches wherein said diagnostic report provides information on a patient's state of health (tables 1-3 provides information about a patient's bone strength based on the BMD measurements).
- t. As per claim 24, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches wherein the state of health is selected from the group consisting of bone mineral density status and fracture risk (see explanation in claim 23).
- u. As per claim 25, the examiner notes the invention of Jiang shows the relationship between the bone strength and BMD, and by comparing an individual's BMD with the models derived in tables 1-3, the patient's health status is clear. Although Jiang does not explicitly teach generating a bill for determining a patient's bone heath, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art that such practice can be integrated to Jiang's system if it is desired. The examiner takes official notice herein.
- v. As per claim 26, see explanation in claim 25.

Art Unit: 2624

- w. As per claim 27, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches wherein the x-ray image is an x-ray film (Jiang: the x-ray image is a digitized x-ray film image, column 6, lines 45-47).
- x. As per claim 28, see explanation in claim 27.
- y. As per claim 29, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches wherein the film is digitized using a scanning unit (see figure 3A in Jiang).
- z. As per claim 30, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches wherein said x-ray film image is acquired digitally (the x-ray image in Jiang is acquired digitally through digitization circuit 2000a, column 6, lines 45-47).
- aa. As per claim 31, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler does not explicitly teach the acquisition system is a selenium detector system or a silicon detector system. The examiner takes official notice that either system is suitable for Jiang's system because the kind of acquisition system is irrelevant in Jiang since the novelty of the invention about performing measurements on the output of the acquisition system, a digital image.
- bb. As per claim 48, the combination of Jiang and Wheeler teaches analyzing an x-ray image for osteoporosis (see figure 2A)
- cc. As per claim 49, the examiner notes the combination of Jiang and Wheeler does not explicitly teach providing suitable treatment for a patient's diagnosis. The examiner notes any qualified physician is capable of providing a treatment to the patient once the result of the bone analysis is produced by Jiang's system. An official notice is taken here.

Art Unit: 2624

- dd. As per claim 50, see explanation in claim 49.
- ee. As per claim 51, see explanation in claims 8 and 9 above.
- ff. As per claim 52, see explanation in claim 3 above.
- gg. As per claim 53, see explanation in claim 48.
- hh. As per claim 54, see explanation in claim 49.
- ii. As per claim 55, see explanation in claim 50.

### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Y. Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-7393. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5PM.

Art Unit: 2624

Page 10

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on (571)-272-7778. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**TYL** 

MATTHEW C. BELLA

Marker C. Bella

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600